## STATE OF MICHIGAN IN THE SUPREME COURT

GRANT BAUSERMAN, KARL WILLIAMS, TEDDY BROE, individually and on behalf of similarly situated persons,

Supreme Court No. 156389

marry situated persons,

Court of Appeals No. 333181

Plaintiffs-Appellants

Court of Claims No. 15-202-MM

v

MICHIGAN UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE AGENCY,

Defendant-Appellee.

SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY

Pursuant to MCR 7.312(I), the Michigan Unemployment Insurance Agency calls this Court's attention to its January 24, 2018 order in *Henry v Dow Chemical Company*, 2018 WL 561271 (Mich 2018) (Docket No. 156128).<sup>1</sup>

Appellants make the *Henry* order applicable to the analysis of this case because of the supplemental authority they filed on January 26, 2018—*Mays*, et al v Snyder, et al, \_\_Mich App \_\_(2018) (Docket No. 335555). Appellants assert that the *Mays* decision "provides important guidance" on the primary issue before this Court on appeal: whether Appellants timely satisfied the requirements of MCL 600.6431(3). (Appellants' Supp Authority at 1.) They believe that the *Mays* majority opinion supports their argument (rejected by the Court of Appeals below) that their claims did not accrue until they suffered economic harm. (*Id.*) But this Court recently rejected that argument and the authority relied on for it.

Mays held that "a claim does not accrue until each element of the cause of action, including some form of damages, exists." Mays, slip op at 9 (citing Henry v Dow Chem Co, 319 Mich App 704, 720 (2017)). But this Court's order in Henry reversed that part of the Henry opinion relied on by the Mays majority. In fact, this Court adopted Henry's dissenting opinion, which held (like the Court of Appeals below) that a claim accrues when the harm on which it is based occurs, not when economic damage results. Henry, 319 Mich App at 735-736 (GADOLA, J., dissenting).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Orders of this Court constituting a final disposition of an application for leave to appeal and containing a concise statement of both the applicable facts and reasons supporting the decision are binding precedent. *DeFrain v State Farm Mut Auto Ins Co*, 491 Mich 359, 369-370 (2012).

Respectfully submitted,

Bill Schuette Attorney General

Aaron D. Lindstrom (P72916) Solicitor General Counsel of Record

B. Eric Restuccia (P49550) Chief Legal Counsel

/s/ Jason Hawkins
Jason Hawkins (P71232)
Debbie K. Taylor (P59382)
Assistant Attorneys General
Attorneys for Appellee - Michigan
Unemployment Insurance Agency
Labor Division
P.O. Box 30217
Lansing, MI 48909
(517) 373-2560

Dated: January 31, 2018